



Peter Grevatt
07/16/99 04:45 AM

To: Catherine McCracken/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc: Annie Jarabek/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Mayer/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Osinski@EPA,
drogers@jag.af.mil, Rachel Sakata@EPA, Timothy Kropp/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Agenda items for 7/16 IPSC Exec. call

Goodmorning everyone. Learned last night that I have to be out of the office from about 12:45 until something like 2:15 today, and I will have to miss part of the call. I will ask my Intern (Tim Kropp) to sit in and take notes until I get back (Catherine - you can call him at my number 202-260-3100). I wanted to weigh in on some of the issues on the agenda in advance to give you an idea of what I think makes sense.

1) Update on status of DOD funding. Will there be followup on the discussions we had previously regarding funding for some additional tox work? I'm not clear on where these issues stand. We are in the process of trying to figure out what we should say about the timing for the next peer review, and some of this is dependent on what studies will be completed when.

2) IPSC agency membership update. I think any government agencies that want to join the IPSC should be welcome to do so. From the EPA side, I think it makes sense to maintain POCs in NCEA, R9, OW and OSWER, as we have to date. We will all continue to be involved to some degree. Interested to hear whether reps from other Agencies or Departments will be shifting.

3) Subcommittee Activities. On the peer review side, I think that maintaining the subcommittee is a good idea. It was very helpful to me to have the input of others into the peer review process, and I'm certain that OSWER will want to maintain an active involvement in the peer review even though it will now be handled through the IRIS process.

Hopefully I will join the call before you get much further than this. Thanks, P. Grevatt